UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549 **FORM 10-Q**

(Mark One)

 \mathbf{X} QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2010

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to ____

Commission File Number 001-33937

LiveDeal, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Nevada

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

2490 East Sunset Road, Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada

(Address of principal executive offices)

(702) 939-0230

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes 🗹 No 🗖

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes \Box No \Box

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer \Box Accelerated filer \Box Non-accelerated filer \Box (do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company \square

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes 🗆 No 🗹

The number of shares of the issuer's common stock, par value \$.001 per share, outstanding as of April 30, 2010 was 6,063,918.

85-0206668 (IRS Employer Identification No.)

89120

(Zip Code)

INDEX TO FORM 10-Q FILING FOR THE QUARTER ENDED MARCH 31, 2010

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | Page |
|----------|---|---|
| | PART I | |
| | FINANCIAL INFORMATION | |
| Item 1. | Financial Statements | |
| | | |
| | Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2010 (unaudited) and September 30, 2009 | 3 |
| | Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations | |
| | for the Three and Six Months Ended March 31, 2010 and 2009 | 4 |
| | Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows | |
| | for the Six Months Ended March 31, 2010 and 2009 | 5 |
| | Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | 6 |
| | | |
| Item 2. | Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 15 |
| | | |
| Item 4. | Controls and Procedures | 25 |
| | PART II | |
| | OTHER INFORMATION | |
| | | |
| Item 1. | Legal Proceedings | 26 |
| | | |
| Item 1A. | Risk Factors | 27 |
| | | |
| T4 0 | | ~ |

| Item 1. | Legal Proceedings | 26 |
|------------|---|----|
| | | |
| Item 1A. | Risk Factors | 27 |
| | | |
| Item 2. | Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds | 27 |
| | | |
| Item 6. | Exhibits | 27 |
| | | |
| Signatures | | 28 |
| | | |

PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

LIVEDEAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

| | March 31. 2010 | Sej | ptember 30, 2009 |
|--|----------------------|-----|---------------------|
| | (unaudited) | | |
| Assets | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 5,467,114 | \$ | 7,568,030 |
| Certificates of deposit | 300,000 | | 100,000 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 1,254,770 | | 1,478,183 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 493,798 | | 326,442 |
| Income taxes receivable | - | | 1,490,835 |
| Total current assets | 7,515,682 | | 10,963,490 |
| Accounts receivable, long term portion, net | 505,496 | | 1,039,403 |
| Property and equipment, net | 519,327 | | 615,906 |
| Deposits and other assets | 87,327 | | 81,212 |
| Intangible assets, net | 2,240,183 | | 2,336,714 |
| Total assets | \$ 10,868,015 | \$ | 15,036,725 |
| Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity | | | |
| Liabilities: | | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 735,082 | \$ | 549,681 |
| Accrued liabilities | 995,445 | | 1,092,811 |
| Current portion of capital lease obligation | 60,549 | | 69,612 |
| Total current liabilities | 1,791,076 | | 1,712,104 |
| Long term portion of capital lease obligation | 68,068 | | 117,073 |
| Total liabilities | 1,859,144 | | 1,829,177 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | | |
| Stockholders' equity: | | | |
| Series E convertible preferred stock, \$0.001 par value, 200,000 shares | | | |
| authorized, 127,840 issued and outstanding, liquidation preference \$38,202 | 10,866 | | 10,866 |
| Common stock, \$0.001 par value, 100,000,000 shares authorized, 6,106,433 and | | | |
| 6,133,433 shares issued, 6,063,918 and 6,104,327 shares outstanding at March 31, | | | |
| 2010 and September 30, 2009, respectively | 6,106 | | 6,133 |
| Treasury stock (42,515 and 29,106 shares carried at cost at March 31, 2010 and | | | |
| September 30, 2009, respectively) | (70,923) | | (45,041 |
| Paid in capital | 20,407,741 | | 20,280,377 |
| Accumulated deficit | (11,344,919) | | (7,044,787 |
| Total stockholders' equity | 9,008,871 | | 13,207,548 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | <u>\$ 10,868,015</u> | \$ | 15,036,725 |

See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

LIVEDEAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | | | Six Months Ended March 31, | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|-------------|----|----------------------------|----|-------------|----------|--------------|
| | _ | 2010 | | 2009 | _ | 2010 | | 2009 |
| Net revenues | \$ | 2,165,653 | \$ | 3,548,275 | \$ | 4,643,100 | \$ | 8,557,789 |
| Cost of services | Ψ | 1,022,339 | Ψ | 1,466,882 | Ψ | 1,851,152 | Ψ | 3,067,532 |
| Gross profit | | 1,143,314 | | 2,081,393 | | 2,791,948 | | 5,490,257 |
| Operating expenses: | | | | | | | | |
| General and administrative expenses | | 3,138,052 | | 4,054,354 | | 7,099,942 | | 8,313,381 |
| Impairment of goodwill | | - | | 4,350,042 | | - | | 4,350,042 |
| Impairment of intangible assets | | - | | 3,516,068 | | - | | 3,516,068 |
| Sales and marketing expenses | | 90,054 | | 713,326 | | 261,111 | | 2,285,385 |
| Total operating expenses | | 3,228,106 | | 12,633,790 | | 7,361,053 | | 18,464,876 |
| Operating loss | | (2,084,792) | | (10,552,397) | | (4,569,105) | | (12,974,619) |
| Other income (expense): | | | | | | ~ / / / | | |
| Interest income, net | | 3,608 | | 6,159 | | 10,518 | | 19,919 |
| Other income (expense) | | (22,693) | | 3,458,220 | | 27,307 | | 7,263,998 |
| Total other income (expense) | | (19,085) | | 3,464,379 | | 37,825 | | 7,283,917 |
| Loss before income taxes | | (2,103,877) | | (7,088,018) | | (4,531,280) | | (5,690,702) |
| Income tax provision (benefit) | | (330,357) | | 6,790,410 | | (231,026) | | 7,243,287 |
| Loss from continuing operations | | (1,773,520) | | (13,878,428) | _ | (4,300,254) | | (12,933,989) |
| Discontinued operations | | | | | | | | |
| Income (loss) from discontinued component, including | | | | | | | | |
| disposal costs | | - | | (8,309,685) | | 1,725 | | (8,400,806) |
| Income tax provision (benefit) | | - | | (3,104,498) | | 644 | | (3,138,541) |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations | | - | | (5,205,187) | | 1,081 | | (5,262,265) |
| Net loss | \$ | (1,773,520) | \$ | (19,083,615) | \$ | (4,299,173) | \$ | (18,196,254) |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Earnings per share - basic and diluted: | ¢ | (0,00) | ¢ | (2.22) | ¢ | (0.50) | . | (2.1.7) |
| Loss from continuing operations | \$ | (0.30) | \$ | (2.32) | \$ | (0.72) | \$ | (2.15) |
| Discontinued operations | | - | | (0.87) | _ | - | | (0.88) |
| Net loss | \$ | (0.30) | \$ | (3.19) | \$ | (0.72) | \$ | (3.03) |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding: | | | | | | | | |
| Basic | | 5,996,526 | | 5,983,490 | | 5,995,939 | | 6,010,521 |
| Diluted | _ | 5,996,526 | _ | 5,983,490 | _ | 5,995,939 | _ | 6,010,521 |

See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

LIVEDEAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

| | Six Mont Marc | |
|--|---|---|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Net loss | \$ (4,299,173) | \$(18,196,254) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash used in operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 443,853 | 1,902,347 |
| Non-cash stock compensation expense | 7,205 | 45,882 |
| Amortization of deferred stock compensation | 120,131 | (132,367) |
| Deferred income taxes | - | 3,705,525 |
| Provision for uncollectible accounts | 648,561 | 836,873 |
| Non-cash impairment of goodwill and intangibles | - | 16,111,494 |
| Gain on sale of customer list | - | (2,815,952) |
| Gain on sale of internet domain name | - | (3,805,778) |
| Gain on amendment of directory services contract | - | (642,268) |
| Loss on disposal of property and equipment | 74,271 | 37,943 |
| Changes in assets and liabilities: | | |
| Accounts receivable | 108,760 | 2,174,384 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | (167,356) | (24,965) |
| Deposits and other assets | (6,114) | 1,835 |
| Accounts payable | 185,400 | (585,918) |
| Accrued liabilities | (98,325) | 93,603 |
| Income taxes receivable and payable | 1,490,835 | 396,460 |
| Net cash used in operating activities | (1,491,952) | (897,156) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Proceeds from sale of internet domain name | _ | 3,850,000 |
| Proceeds from sale of customer list | - | 2,783,097 |
| Proceeds from amendment of directory services contract | _ | 642,268 |
| Expenditures for intangible assets | (268,693) | (339,372) |
| Investment in CD's and other securities | (200,000) | - (557,572) |
| Purchases of equipment | (56,321) | (65,104) |
| | | (00,201) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | (525,014) | 6,870,889 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Principal repayments on capital lease obligations | (58,068) | (35,561) |
| Purchase of treasury stock | (25,882) | (487,480) |
| | , | |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (83,950) | (523,041) |
| INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | (2,100,916) | 5,450,692 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, beginning of period | 7,568,030 | 4,639,787 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, end of period | \$ 5,467,114 | <u>\$ 10,090,479</u> |
| ensit fild ensit eget (need), sid of period | φ,407,114 | φ 10,090,479 |
| Supplemental cash flow disclosures: | | |
| Noncash financing and investing activities: | | |
| Accrued and unpaid dividends | <u>\$ </u> | <u>\$ 958</u> |
| | ¢ 2.777 | ф <u>гос</u> т |
| Interest paid | <u>\$ 3,777</u> | <u>\$ </u> |
| Income tax paid (received) | <u>\$ (1,721,217)</u> | <u>\$ 1,960</u> |
| • • • | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |

See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements

Note 1: Organization and Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of LiveDeal, Inc. (formerly YP Corp.), a Nevada corporation, and its wholly owned subsidiaries (collectively the "Company"). The Company delivers local customer acquisition services for small and medium-sized businesses to deliver an affordable way for businesses to extend their marketing reach to local, relevant customers via the Internet.

The accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet as of September 30, 2009, which has been derived from audited consolidated financial statements, and the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements as of March 31, 2010 and for the three and six months ended March 31, 2010 and March 31, 2009 have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for audited financial statements. In the opinion of the Company's management, the interim information includes all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, necessary for a fair presentation of the results for the interim periods. The results of operations for the three and six months ended March 31, 2010 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the year ending September 30, 2010. The footnote disclosures related to the interim financial information included herein are also unaudited. Such financial information should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes thereto as of September 30, 2009 and for the fiscal year then ended included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2009.

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amount of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amount of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Significant estimates and assumptions have been used by management throughout the preparation of the condensed consolidated financial statements including in conjunction with establishing allowances for customer refunds, non-paying customers, dilution and fees, analyzing the recoverability of the carrying amount of intangible assets, estimating forfeitures of stock-based compensation and evaluating the recoverability of deferred tax assets. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

Note 2: Balance Sheet Information

Balance sheet information is as follows:

| | March 31, 2010 | September 30, 2009 |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| Receivables, current, net: | | |
| Accounts receivable, current | \$ 2,798,302 | 2 \$ 3,776,966 |
| Less: Allowance for doubtful accounts | (1,543,532 | |
| | \$ 1,254,770 | \$ 1,478,183 |
| Receivables, long term, net: | | |
| Accounts receivable, long term | \$ 1,019,541 | \$ 1,581,946 |
| Less: Allowance for doubtful accounts | (514,045 | 5) (542,543) |
| | \$ 505,496 | 5 \$ 1,039,403 |
| Total receivables, net: | | |
| Gross receivables | \$ 3,817,843 | 3 \$ 5,358,912 |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | (2,057,577 | (2,841,326) |
| | \$ 1,760,266 | 5 \$ 2,517,586 |

Our accounts receivable consist primarily of amounts due from customers of our directory services business.

| | Ν | March 31, 2010 | Se | ptember 30, 2009 |
|--|---------|---------------------------------------|----|--|
| Property and equipment, net: | | | | |
| Leasehold improvements | \$ | 239,271 | \$ | 235,056 |
| Furnishings and fixtures | | 336,067 | | 336,067 |
| Office, computer equipment and other | | 704,390 | | 692,317 |
| | | 1,279,728 | | 1,263,440 |
| Less: Accumulated depreciation | | (760,401) | | (647,534) |
| | \$ | 519,327 | \$ | 615,906 |
| | | | | |
| | I | March 31, 2010 | Se | ptember 30, 2009 |
| Intangible assets, net: | N | , | Se | ptember 30, 2009 |
| Intangible assets, net: Domain name and marketing related intangibles | N \$ | , | | . , |
| | | 2010 | | 2009 |
| Domain name and marketing related intangibles | | 2010 | | 2009 6,699,600 |
| Domain name and marketing related intangibles Non-compete agreements | | 2010 1,509,600 | | 2009 6,699,600 3,465,000 |
| Domain name and marketing related intangibles Non-compete agreements | | 2010 1,509,600 1,898,493 | | 2009 6,699,600 3,465,000 4,678,970 |

During fiscal 2009, a significant amount of the Company's intangible assets were determined to be impaired and for comparative purposes, the original cost and accumulated amortization amounts were set forth in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2009. As of March 31, 2010, the cost and accumulated amortization on all fully amortized assets were removed from the Company's books.

| | M | arch 31, 2010 | Sep | otember 30, 2009 |
|---|----|------------------|-----|---------------------|
| Accrued liabilities: | | | | |
| Deferred revenue | \$ | 122,249 | \$ | 148,916 |
| Accrued payroll and bonuses | | 116,727 | | 289,944 |
| Accruals under revenue sharing agreements | | 170,663 | | 314,754 |
| Accrued expenses - other | | 585,806 | | 339,197 |
| | \$ | 995,445 | \$ | 1.092.811 |

Note 3: Restructuring Activities

On January 4, 2010, the Board of Directors of the Company approved a reduction in force that resulted in the termination of approximately 33% of the Company's workforce, effective January 7, 2010. On February 23, 2010, the Board of Directors of the Company approved an additional reduction in force that resulted in the termination of approximately 20% of the Company's workforce, effective March 4, 2010. These reductions in force were related to our ongoing restructuring and cost reduction efforts as the Board of Directors explores a variety of strategic alternatives, including the potential sale of the Company or certain of its assets and/or the acquisition of other entities or businesses.

The Company incurred charges of \$143,000 in connection with these reductions in force, consisting entirely of employee termination benefits. All amounts were paid as of March 31, 2010.

Note 4: Stock-based Compensation

From time to time, the Company grants restricted stock awards and stock options to officers, directors, employees and consultants. Such awards are valued based on the grant date fair-value of the instruments, net of estimated forfeitures. The value of each award is amortized on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period.

During the three months and six months ended March 31, 2010, the Company recognized compensation expense of \$15,365 and \$7,205, respectively, and \$26,026 and \$45,882 for the three and six months ending March 31, 2009 respectively, related to stock option awards granted to certain employees and executives based on the grant date fair value of the awards, net of estimated forfeitures. During the three months ended December 31, 2009, the Company changed the estimated forfeiture rate of awards from 40% to 60% based on actual forfeiture experience and other factors, resulting in a net benefit from the expense reversal of \$8,160. There were no such changes in the estimated forfeiture rate in the three months ending March 31, 2010.

On November 23, 2009, the Company granted an aggregate of 250,000 options to Richard Sommer, the Company's then-current CEO, with an exercise price equal to the stock price on the date of grant and scheduled to vest according to the following schedule: 25% on October 29, 2010 (the first anniversary of the date of grant) and 1/36 of the remainder each month beginning on November 29, 2010. As Mr. Sommer resigned on January 4, 2010, all such options were forfeited. Given this forfeiture, the Company elected not to expense such options because the effects on the financial statements would not have been material. No other options were granted during the six months ended March 31, 2010.

The Company had stock option activity summarized as follows:

| | Number of Shares | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Weighted Average Fair Value | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life | Aggregate Intrinsic Value |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|--|--------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|
| Outstanding at September 30, 2009 | 330,000 | | | | |
| Granted at market price | 250,000 | \$ 1.95 | n/m | | |
| Exercised | - | - | | | |
| Forfeited | (280,000) | \$ 1.92 | | | |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2009 | 300,000 | \$ 1.45 | | 8.6 | \$ |
| Exercisable | 97,917 | \$ 1.45 | | 8.6 | \$ |

As noted above, Mr. Sommer's 250,000 options were forfeited in connection with his resignation on January 4, 2010. The following table summarizes information about the Company's outstanding stock options at March 31, 2010:

| | Exercisable | | Unexe | rcisable | Total | | | |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| Range of Exercise Prices | Number Outstanding | Weighted Average Exercise Pric | Number Outstanding | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Number Outstanding | Weighted Average Exercise Price | | |
| Less than \$2.00 per share | 97,917 | \$ 1.4 | 5 202,083 | 1.45 | 300,000 | \$ 1.45 | | |

At March 31, 2010, future stock compensation expense (net of estimated forfeitures) not yet recognized is \$160,018, which the Company expects will be amortized over a weighted-average remaining vesting period of 2.6 years.

From time to time, the Company also has historically granted shares of restricted stock to certain individuals. The following table sets forth the activity with respect to compensation-related restricted stock grants during the six months ended March 31, 2010:

| Outstanding (unvested) at September 30, 2009 | 106,425 |
|--|----------|
| Granted | - |
| Forfeited | (27,000) |
| Vested | (12,000) |
| Outstanding (unvested) at March 31, 2010 | 67,425 |

Total unrecognized stock compensation expense related to unvested awards totaled \$205,908 at March 31, 2010, which the Company expects will be amortized over a weighted-average period of 1.4 years.



Note 5: Treasury Stock

The Company's treasury stock consists of shares repurchased on the open market or shares received through various agreements with third parties. The value of such shares is determined based on cash paid or quoted market prices. During the three and six months ended March 31, 2010, the Company acquired an aggregate of 1,000 and 13,310 shares of common stock for an aggregate purchase price of \$1,350 and \$25,882, respectively. At March 31, 2010, an aggregate of 42,515 shares of common stock were held as treasury shares.

Note 6: Net Loss per Share

Net loss per share is calculated using the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the period. Basic weighted average common shares outstanding do not include shares of restricted stock that have not yet vested, although such shares are included as outstanding shares in the Company's unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheet. Diluted net loss per share is computed using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding and if dilutive, potential common shares outstanding during the period. Potential common shares consist of the incremental common shares issuable from restricted shares, stock options and convertible preferred stock. Preferred stock dividends are subtracted from net loss to determine the amount available to common stockholders.

The following table presents the computation of basic and diluted net loss per share:

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | | | | Six Months Ended March 31, | | | |
|--|------------------------------|-------------|----|--------------|----------------------------|-------------|----|--------------|
| | | 2010 | | 2009 | _ | 2010 | | 2009 |
| Net loss from continuing operations | \$ | (1,773,520) | \$ | (13,878,428) | \$ | (4,300,254) | \$ | (12,933,989) |
| Less: preferred stock dividends | | (479) | | (479) | | (958) | | (958) |
| Net loss from continuing operations applicable to common stock | | (1,773,999) | | (13,878,907) | | (4,301,212) | | (12,934,947) |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations | | | | (5,205,187) | | 1,081 | | (5,262,265) |
| Net loss applicable to common stock | \$ | (1,773,999) | \$ | (19,084,094) | \$ | (4,300,131) | \$ | (18,197,212) |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Basic weighted average common shares outstanding: | | 5,996,526 | | 5,983,490 | | 5,995,939 | | 6,010,521 |
| Add incremental shares for: | | | | | | | | |
| Unvested restricted stock | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Series E convertible preferred stock | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Stock options | | | _ | | | _ | _ | - |
| Diluted weighted average common shares outstanding: | | 5,996,526 | | 5,983,490 | _ | 5,995,939 | | 6,010,521 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Earnings per share - basic and diluted: | | | | | | | | |
| Loss from continuing operations | \$ | (0.30) | \$ | (2.32) | \$ | (0.72) | \$ | (2.15) |
| Discontinued operations | | | | (0.87) | | | | (0.88) |
| Net loss | \$ | (0.30) | \$ | (3.19) | \$ | (0.72) | \$ | (3.03) |

The following potentially dilutive securities were excluded from the calculation of diluted net loss per share because the effects were antidilutive based on the application of the treasury stock method and because the Company incurred net losses during the period:

| | Three Months Er | nded March 31, | Six Months Ended March 31, | | |
|--|-----------------|----------------|----------------------------|---------|--|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 | |
| Options to purchase shares of common stock | 300,000 | 540,217 | 425,683 | 476,064 | |
| Series E convertible preferred stock | 127,840 | 127,840 | 127,840 | 127,840 | |
| Shares of non-vested restricted stock | 67,425 | 158,425 | 80,999 | 188,589 | |
| | 495,265 | 826,482 | 634,522 | 792,493 | |

Note 7: Income Taxes

During the year ended September 30, 2009, the Company established a valuation allowance against its deferred tax assets. The Company determined that such a valuation allowance was necessary given the current and expected near term losses and the uncertainty with respect to the Company's ability to generate sufficient profits from its new business model. Therefore, the Company established a valuation allowance for all deferred tax assets in excess of those expected to be realizable through the application of operating loss carrybacks.

During the six months ended March 31, 2010, the Company recognized an income tax benefit of \$230,382 associated with a true up to our income tax receivable based on information received during the preparation of our 2009 tax returns.

Note 8: Commitments and Contingencies

Operating Leases and Service Contracts

As of March 31, 2010, future minimum annual payments under operating lease agreements and non-cancelable service contracts for fiscal years ending September 30 are as follows:

| | | Payments Due by Fiscal Year | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|-------------|------------|-----------|-------------|------------|--|--|--|
| | Total | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | Thereafter | | | |
| Operating lease commitments | \$1,098,774 | \$ 273,848 | \$ 430,875 | \$ 315,331 | \$ 78,720 | \$- | \$ - | | | |
| Noncanceleable service contracts | 1,482,820 | 620,709 | 721,111 | 141,000 | | | | | | |
| | \$2,581,594 | \$ 894,557 | \$1,151,986 | \$ 456,331 | \$ 78,720 | <u>\$</u> - | \$ | | | |

This table excludes minimum payment obligations under capital leases as such obligations are set forth elsewhere in this footnote.

Capital leases

As of March 31, 2010, future obligations under non-cancelable capital leases are as follows for the fiscal years ended September 30:

| 2010 | \$ 32,071 |
|---|--------------|
| 2011 | 64,143 |
| 2012 | 37,417 |
| 2013 | - |
| 2014 | - |
| Thereafter | - |
| Total minimum lease payments | 133,631 |
| Less imputed interest | (5,014) |
| Present value of minimum lease payments | 128,617 |
| Less: current maturities of capital lease obligations | 60,549 |
| Noncurrent maturities of capital lease obligations | \$ 68,068 |
| | |

Litigation

Except as described below, as of March 31, 2010, the Company was not a party to any pending material legal proceedings other than claims that arise in the normal conduct of its business. While management currently believes that the ultimate outcome of these proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on its consolidated financial condition or results of operations (except with respect to the legal settlement with OSM and SMe described below), litigation is subject to inherent uncertainties. If an unfavorable ruling were to occur, there exists the possibility of a material adverse impact on the Company's net income in the period in which a ruling occurs. The Company's estimate of the potential impact of the following legal proceedings on its financial position and its results of operations could change in the future.

With the exception of the settlement with OSM and SMe described below, the Company has not recorded any accruals pertaining to its legal proceedings as they do not meet the criteria for accrual under FASB ASC 450.

Joe Cunningham v. LiveDeal, Inc. et al.

On July 16, 2008, Joseph Cunningham, who was at the time a member of LiveDeal's Board of Directors, filed a complaint with the U.S. Department of Labor's Occupational Safety and Health Administration ("OSHA") alleging that the Company and certain members of its Board of Directors had engaged in discriminatory employment practices in violation of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002's statutory protections for corporate whistleblowers when the Board of Directors removed him as Chairman on May 22, 2008. In his complaint, Mr. Cunningham asked OSHA to order his appointment as Chief Executive Officer of the Company or, in the alternative, to order his reinstatement as Chairman of the Board. Mr. Cunningham also sought back pay, special damages and litigation costs. The Company has not received any correspondence from OSHA, and there have been no other developments in the matter, since December 2008.

Global Education Services, Inc. v. LiveDeal, Inc.

On June 6, 2008, Global Education Services, Inc. ("GES") filed a consumer fraud class action lawsuit against the Company in King County (Washington) Superior Court. GES has alleged in its complaint that the Company's use of activator checks violated the Washington Consumer Protection Act. GES is seeking injunctive relief against the Company's use of the checks, as well as a judgment in an amount equal to three times the alleged damages sustained by GES and the members of the class. LiveDeal has denied the allegations. The Court denied both parties' dispositive motions. Litigation is ongoing.

Complaint filed by Illinois Attorney General against LiveDeal, Inc.

On November 12, 2008, the Illinois Attorney General filed a complaint in the Circuit Court of the Seventh Judicial Circuit of the State of Illinois (Sangamon County) against the Company requesting money damages and injunctive relief for claims that we employed deceptive and unfair acts and practices in violation of the Illinois Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Business Act in a telemarketing campaign that in part promoted premium Internet Yellow Page listings to Illinois consumers. LiveDeal has denied the allegations and is vigorously defending the claim. Legal proceedings in the matter are ongoing.

LiveDeal, Inc. v. OnCall Superior Management ("OSM") and SMeVentures, Inc. ("SME")

On April 6, 2009, LiveDeal sought a declaratory judgment with respect to the termination of certain contracts that it entered into with OSM and SME on November 1, 2007 and November 13, 2006, respectively. Pursuant to the contracts, OSM and SME (both of which are call center managers based in the Philippines) were to provide certain telemarketing and other customer services to LiveDeal. LiveDeal subsequently filed a complaint in the United States District Court for the District of Arizona (CV 09-976-PHX-DGC) alleging breach of contract on May 29, 2009, and OSM and SME counterclaimed, also alleging breach of contract.

On February 3, 2010, the parties executed a Settlement Agreement and Mutual Release pursuant to which LiveDeal agreed to pay OSM and SME a total of \$300,000 in cash in exchange for their agreement to terminate all litigation with respect to the 2006 and 2007 contracts. The parties also entered into a new Services Agreement pursuant to which OSM agreed to provide certain services to LiveDeal until July 1, 2010 in exchange for cash payments totaling \$125,000.

Note 9: Concentration of Credit Risk

The Company maintains cash balances at major nationwide institutions in Arizona, California and Nevada. Accounts are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation up to \$250,000.

The Company has concentrations of receivables with respect to certain wholesale accounts and remaining holdbacks with LEC service providers. Four such entities accounted for 27%, 23%, 17% and 11% of gross receivables at March 31, 2010 and three such entities accounted for 23%, 22%, and 18% of gross receivables at September 30, 2009, respectively.

Note 10: Segment Reporting

Prior to fiscal 2009, the Company operated as an integrated business and had only one reportable segment. During the second quarter of fiscal 2009, the Company implemented a corporate initiative that evaluates its different product lines as separate business units. As part of this strategy, management has begun evaluating operating performance by reviewing the profitability of these product lines on a standalone basis. Therefore, the Company now has two reportable operating segments (excluding the discontinued classifieds business): Directory Services and Direct Sales - Customer Acquisition Services. The Company has yet to identify and allocate operating costs or impairment charges to its reportable segments below the gross profit level. Additionally, the reportable segments share many common costs, including, but not limited to, IT support, office and administrative expenses. Therefore, the following table of operating results does not allocate costs to its reportable segments below the gross profit level:

| | Six Months Ended March 31, 2010 | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------|--|----------------|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | D: (| с · | Di (A | T D <i>i</i> D | | | | | | |
| | Directo | ory Services | | Services | Unallocated | Consolidated | | | | |
| Net revenues | \$ | 2,209,340 | \$ | 2,433,760 | \$- | \$ 4,643,100 | | | | |
| Cost of services | | 184,797 | | 1,666,356 | | 1,851,153 | | | | |
| Gross profit | | 2,024,543 | | 767,404 | - | 2,791,947 | | | | |
| Operating expenses | | | | - | 7,361,053 | 7,361,053 | | | | |
| Operating income (loss) | | 2,024,543 | | 767,404 | (7,361,053) | (4,569,106) | | | | |
| Other income (expense) | | _ | | - | 37,825 | 37,825 | | | | |
| Income (loss) before income taxes and discontinued | | | | | | | | | | |
| operations | \$ | 2,024,543 | \$ | 767,404 | <u>\$ (7,323,228</u>) | <u>\$ (4,531,281)</u> | | | | |
| | Six Months Ended March 31, 2009 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Six M | | | Iarch 31, 2009 | | | | | |
| | | Six M | | hs Ended N rect Sales - | Iarch 31, 2009 | | | | | |
| | | Six M | Di | | <u>farch 31, 2009</u> | | | | | |
| | | Six M | Di C | rect Sales - | farch 31, 2009 | | | | | |
| | Directo | Six M ry Services | Dia C A | rect Sales - Customer | farch 31, 2009 Unallocated | Consolidated | | | | |
| | | | Dia C A | rect Sales - Customer cquisition | , | | | | | |
| Net revenues | Directo \$ | ry Services 6,863,243 | Dia C A | rect Sales - Customer cquisition Services | , | | | | | |
| Net revenues Cost of services | | ry Services | Dii C Ac | rect Sales - Customer cquisition Services 1,694,546 939,842 | Unallocated | Consolidated | | | | |
| Cost of services Gross profit | | ry Services 6,863,243 | Dii C Ac | rect Sales - Customer cquisition Services 1,694,546 | Unallocated \$ - - | Consolidated \$ 8,557,789 3,067,532 5,490,257 | | | | |
| Cost of services Gross profit Operating expenses | | ry Services 6,863,243 2,127,690 4,735,553 | Dii C Ac | rect Sales - Customer cquisition Services 1,694,546 939,842 754,704 | Unallocated \$ - - - - - - - - - - - - - - | Consolidated \$ 8,557,789 3,067,532 5,490,257 18,464,876 | | | | |
| Cost of services Gross profit Operating expenses Operating income (loss) | | ry Services 6,863,243 2,127,690 | Dii C Ac | rect Sales - Customer cquisition Services 1,694,546 939,842 | Unallocated \$ - - - - - - - - - - - - - - | Consolidated \$ 8,557,789 3,067,532 5,490,257 | | | | |
| Cost of services Gross profit Operating expenses Operating income (loss) Other income (expense) | | ry Services 6,863,243 2,127,690 4,735,553 | Dii C Ac | rect Sales - Customer cquisition Services 1,694,546 939,842 754,704 | Unallocated \$ - - - - - - - - - - - - - - | Consolidated \$ 8,557,789 3,067,532 5,490,257 18,464,876 | | | | |
| Cost of services Gross profit Operating expenses Operating income (loss) | | ry Services 6,863,243 2,127,690 4,735,553 | Dii C Ac | rect Sales - Customer cquisition Services 1,694,546 939,842 754,704 | Unallocated \$ - - - - - - - - - - - - - - | Consolidated \$ 8,557,789 3,067,532 5,490,257 18,464,876 (12,974,619) 7,283,917 | | | | |

Included in the decrease in costs associated with the directory services business segment is an expense reversal of approximately \$130,000 in the first six months of fiscal 2010 reflecting revised estimates of bad debt expense based on recent settlement experience.

The Company has yet to allocate its assets to each respective segment. While some software costs are specific to each business, most of the Company's fixed assets and software architecture are shared among its segments. Therefore, the Company is currently unable to provide asset information with respect to each of its reportable segments, except as it pertains to accounts receivable as set forth below:

| | March 31, 2010 | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|------|-------------|----|-----------|--|--|
| | | | Dire | ect Sales - | | | | |
| | | | Cı | istomer | | | | |
| | | | Ace | quisition | | | | |
| | Dire | ctory Services | S | ervices | | Total | | |
| Accounts receivable, net - short term | \$ | 1,208,835 | \$ | 45,935 | \$ | 1,254,770 | | |
| Accounts receivable, net - long term | | 505,496 | | - | | 505,496 | | |
| Total accounts receivable, net | \$ | 1,714,331 | \$ | 45,935 | \$ | 1,760,266 | | |
| | September 30, 2009 | | | | | | | |
| | | | Dire | ect Sales - | | | | |
| | | | Cı | istomer | | | | |
| | | | Ace | quisition | | | | |
| | Dire | ctory Services | S | ervices | | Total | | |
| Accounts receivable, net - short term | \$ | 1,442,037 | \$ | 36,146 | \$ | 1,478,183 | | |
| Accounts receivable, net - long term | | 1,039,403 | | _ | | 1,039,403 | | |
| Total accounts receivable, net | \$ | 2,481,440 | \$ | 36,146 | \$ | 2.517.586 | | |

The Company has no intersegment revenues. All of the Company's revenues are derived from sales to external customers, from operations in the United States, and no single customer accounts for more than 10 percent of the Company's revenues.

Note 11: Liquidity

While the Company believes that its existing cash on hand will provide it with sufficient liquidity to meet its operating needs for the next 12 months, it will not be able to stay in business in the future without improvements in its profitability, additional financing or a fundamental change in its business. As the Company continues to maintain its existing business lines, it is simultaneously exploring other strategic initiatives.

Note 12: Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2007, the FASB amended ASC 805, *Business Combinations* and FASB ASC 810 "Consolidations". FASB ASC 805 and FASB ASC 810 are products of a joint project between the FASB and the International Accounting Standards Board. The revised standards continue the movement toward the greater use of fair values in financial reporting. FASB ASC 805 will significantly change how business acquisitions are accounted for and will impact financial statements both on the acquisition date and in subsequent periods. These changes include the expensing of acquisition related costs and restructuring costs when incurred, the recognition of all assets, liabilities and noncontrolling interests at fair value during a step-acquisition, and the recognition of contingent consideration as of the acquisition date if it is more likely than not to be incurred. FASB ASC 810 will change the accounting and reporting for minority interests, which will be recharacterized as noncontrolling interests and classified as a component of equity. The changes to FASB ASC 805 and 810 are effective for both public and private companies for fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2008 (January 1, 2009 for companies with calendar year-ends). FASB ASC 805 will be applied prospectively. FASB ASC 810 requires retroactive adoption of the presentation and disclosure requirements for existing minority interests. All other requirements of FASB ASC 810 shall be applied prospectively. Early adoption is prohibited for both these amendments did not have a material impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In March 2008, the FASB amended ASC 815, "Derivatives and Hedging". FASB ASC 815 modifies existing requirements to include qualitative disclosures regarding the objectives and strategies for using derivatives, fair value amounts of gains and losses on derivative instruments and disclosures about credit-risk-related contingent features in derivative agreements. The pronouncement also requires the cross-referencing of derivative disclosures within the financial statements and notes thereto. The requirements of FASB ASC 815 are effective for interim and annual periods beginning after November 15, 2008. The adoption of this amendment did not have a material impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In April 2008, the FASB amended ASC 350 "Intangibles – Goodwill and Other". FASB ASC 350 amends the factors that should be considered in developing renewal or extension assumptions used to determine the useful life of a recognized intangible asset under ASC 350. The intent of the amendment is to improve the consistency between the useful life of a recognized intangible asset under ASC 350 and the period of expected cash flows used to measure the fair value of the asset under ASC 805. Amendments to ASC 350 are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008 and was effective for the Company on October 1, 2009. The adoption of this amendment did not impact the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In June 2008, the FASB amended ASC 815 to address the determination of whether an instrument (or an embedded feature) is indexed to an entity's own stock, if an instrument (or an embedded feature) that has the characteristics of a derivative instrument is indexed to an entity's own stock, it is still necessary to evaluate whether it is classified in stockholders' equity (or would be classified in stockholders' equity if it were a freestanding instrument). In addition, some instruments that are potentially subject to the guidance in ASC 815 but do not have all the characteristics of a derivative instrument under paragraphs 6 through 9, it is still necessary to evaluate whether it is classified in stockholders' equity. ASC 815 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. The adoption of this amendment did not have a material impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

Note 13: Subsequent Events

We have evaluated subsequent events through May 14, 2010, which is the date the condensed consolidated financial statements were issued, and there are no subsequent events to report.



ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

For a description of our significant accounting policies and an understanding of the significant factors that influenced our performance during the three and six months ended March 31, 2010, this "Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" (hereafter referred to as "MD&A") should be read in conjunction with the condensed consolidated financial statements, including the related notes, appearing in Part I, Item 1 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, as well as our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2009.

Forward-Looking Statements

This portion of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q includes statements that constitute "forward-looking statements." These forward-looking statements are often characterized by the terms "may," "believes," "projects," "intends," "expects," or "anticipates," and do not reflect historical facts. Specific forward-looking statements contained herein include, but are not limited to, our belief that our existing cash on hand will provide us with sufficient liquidity to meet our operating needs for the next 12 months; that our customer acquisition services will account for a larger percentage of total net revenues in the future; expectations about stock option and restricted stock vesting; trends relating to our accounts receivable; the timing, amount and expectations about the cost and impact of legal proceedings that we are involved in; our expectation that we will experience declining revenues in our Business Directory segment; trends in Internet advertising and customer acquisition strategies; our expectation that we will continue to experience operating losses and operating cash outflows; our plans and expectations with respect to new product and service offerings in our Business Directory segment; and strategic alternatives we may pursue and their potential impact on the Company. Forward-looking statements involve risks, uncertainties and other factors, which may cause our actual results, performance or achievements to be materially different from those expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements include those identified in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2009 under Item 1A "Risk Factors", as well as other factors that we are currently unable to identify or quantify, but that may exist in the future.

In addition, the foregoing factors may affect generally our business, results of operations, and financial position. Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date the statement was made. We do not undertake and specifically decline any obligation to update any forward-looking statements.

Our Company

LiveDeal, Inc. provides local customer acquisition services for small businesses to deliver an affordable way for businesses to extend their marketing reach to local, relevant customers via the Internet. LiveDeal delivers local search engine marketing (SEM) utilizing an inside sales team. LiveDeal resells search products from Google, Yahoo!, Bing and others as part of its SEM marketing and also provides website , hosting and Internet syndication services. LiveDeal, Inc. is headquartered in Las Vegas, Nevada. For more information, please visit <u>www.livedeal.com</u>.

We have two inter-related primary lines of business: (1) we deliver a suite of customer acquisition services for small businesses, sold via telemarketing and supported by our websites, distribution network, and best of breed software developed to manage search and other Internet services efficiently, and (2) we deliver a suite of promotional and operational support services for small businesses that include but are not limited to submission in local online maps and directories, webhosting, newsletters, teleconferencing, electronic fax, file storage, and call routing sold via telemarketing and supported by an online, self service customer portal.

Summary Business Description

Direct Sales Services (also known as Telesold Suite Services)

Commencing in February 2008, we added a new line of business. This line of business is based around using telesales and Internet customer acquisition technologies to deliver a suite of customer acquisition services to small businesses. We believe the most significant of these customer acquisition services is Internet search and search-related advertising services. The Company's strategy is to position its solutions where 85-95% of Internet and mobile search activity for local business services occurs: search engine results, the most popular business directories, and the top social network destinations. This development is intended to create a presence, and enable individuals and businesses to find our customers without ever going to a specific directory. The small business whose website information or advertising message is identified by a search becomes the likely recipient of that business. The Company's research indicates there are half a billion unique local searches a month on Google. On Yahoo alone, 100 million unique visitors per month search with "local intent". On top of that data, rapidly rising smart-phone sales will increase mobile search utilization. Therefore, we believe utilizing mobile, Internet search and related advertising is fast becoming a necessity for small businesses.

Another key Internet development is the rise of user review sites and services, such as Yelp.com and social networking sites, such as Facebook. At these sites, consumers let each other know about their experiences with local businesses. They rate and comment on the businesses. The sites also tend to provide some aspects of traditional directories as well as new services, such as placing businesses on a local map, providing driving directions, etc. At these sites, as with Internet search, consumers can select businesses for their commerce without ever using a traditional directory. Consumers are instead pursuaded to frequent a specific business based on the experiences of others.

With the emergence of these new Internet capabilities, and others that are fast emerging, the role of directories, both paper and Internet, is becoming less relevant in the customer acquisition process. Search, review and social networking sites are becoming the new standard. We believe these sites will provide the greatest value for both customers and businesses.

Our websites offer businesses and consumers an affordable and effective solution for creating a web presence and marketing their products and services to a local audience through these new online media.

Our suite currently includes the following menu of services, but the range of services we deliver is designed to shift over time, based on the needs of our small business customers and the ever-changing state of Internet technology:

- Website URL acquisition services whereby we obtain website address names on behalf of our small business clients;
- Website development and deployment services where we create, house and manage websites on behalf of our small business clients;
- Website traffic and audience development services, which utilize sophisticated search engine marketing techniques, partnerships with other websites and other techniques to generate traffic to our customers' websites, whether created by us or not;
- Website analytics and performance reports that generate information for our customers about activities on their websites and generate leads for their businesses based on Internet activities;
- Directory services whereby we provide both basic and enhanced directory listings for our customers on our own directory and on partner directories; and
- Business listing syndication whereby we provide for our customers a single point to publish their information on the top directories, create their point of presence in the search results of the major search engines, and broadcast their latest "happenings" on the most popular social networking destinations.

Business Directory

We use a business model similar to print Yellow Pages publishers for our Yellow Page directory. We publish basic directory listings on the Internet. Our directory listings contain the name, address and telephone number for almost 17 million U.S. businesses. We strive to maintain a listing for almost every business in America in this format and we generate revenue from the sale of various advertising packages to listed businesses. Previously, we shifted our business focus away from this line of business and sold our primary URL and a portion of our customer list, which contributed to a 68% decrease in net revenues in the six months ended March 31, 2010 compared to the same period in 2009. We expect to continue to experience declining future revenues from this segment.

To counter the decrease in this line of business, we have redefined our business to business offerings to include a suite of promotional and operational support services for small businesses. Packages are in final testing now and we anticipate that they will be officially released to the marketplace within the next quarter.



Recent Developments

Change in Business Strategy and Risks Associated with Such Changes

In fiscal 2009, we underwent a significant change in our business strategy as a result of declining revenues in our legacy businesses (classifieds and business directory services) and other economic and regulatory forces. We embarked on a transformation of our business away from our business directory services and classified business and focused our efforts toward developing our Direct Sales line of business. As part of this change in strategy, we initiated a series of key events including:

- We shut-down our Philippines-based call center;
- We discontinued our classified business;
- We sold a portion of our customer list associated with our directory services business;
- We sold our <u>www.yp.com</u> Internet domain name; and
- We experienced several management changes including turnover of our most senior executive positions.

As a result of these events and transactions, we have experienced a significant decline in revenues and have incurred recent operating losses and increased operating cash outflows. These losses and operating cash outflows are expected to continue indefinitely as we address our new line of business. The risks associated with our Company are outlined in Part I, Item 1A "Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2009. We encourage all investors, prospective investors and other readers to refer to these risk factors.

As discussed above, we have recently redefined out strategy in our Business Directory segment to include a suite of promotional and operational support services for small businesses, which we believe we can offer in bundles to achieve competitive advantages as compared to our peers. In addition, we are currently exploring a number of other strategic alternatives. Such alternatives may include, but are not limited to, potential partnership, joint venture, divestiture, or liquidation strategies. We make no statements with respect to the feasibility or likelihood of such transactions, or whether any such scenario or combination of scenarios necessarily may be in the best interest of all shareholders should they happen to occur.

Management Changes

On November 23, 2009, we and Richard F. Sommer, our then-current Chief Executive Officer, entered into an amendment to Mr. Sommer's Employment Agreement dated as of May 19, 2009. This amendment, provided that Mr. Sommer is entitled to an option to purchase 250,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$1.95 per share, which was equal to the closing price of our common stock on the date of grant. The option was granted pursuant to our 2003 Stock Plan and was scheduled to vest according to the following schedule: 25% on October 29, 2010 (the first anniversary of the date of grant) and 1/36 of the remainder each month beginning on November 29, 2010.

Previously, the Employment Agreement provided that Mr. Sommer was entitled to a success fee payable in cash equal to 2% of the excess above \$9,000,000 of any cash distributed to or received by our stockholders in the form of a dividend, in the event of liquidation or upon a change of control. Pursuant to this amendment, that provision was deleted and replaced with the option grant described above. Other than as described above, the original terms of Mr. Sommer's Employment Agreement remained in full force and effect.

Effective January 2, 2010, Rajeev Seshadri resigned as our Chief Financial Officer and was replaced by Lawrence W. Tomsic. Mr. Tomsic recently served as Controller for Alliance Residential Company, an apartment complex with 3,221 units and \$90 million in annual sales. Previously, he was a Controller and Chief Financial Officer for various clients of JKL Consulting (including a planned unit development and a concrete contractor) from 2006-2008 and Chief Financial Officer of John R. Wood, Inc. (a real estate brokerage focusing on luxury residential housing and commercial properties) from 1997-2006. Mr. Tomsic worked as a financial officer and in other management positions for various companies (including U.S. Home Corporation and Collier Enterprises) from 1983-1997. He was also a senior auditor for Deloitte & Touche for three years. Mr. Tomsic holds a B.S. in Accounting from the University of Delaware and an M.B.A. from the University of Denver.

On January 4, 2010, Mr. Sommer resigned as our Chief Executive Officer. As a result of his departure, Mr. Sommer also resigned as a member of our Board of Directors. Following Mr. Sommer's departure, Kevin A. Hall was appointed as our interim Chief Operating Officer (COO). Mr. Hall has been serving as our General Counsel and Vice President of Human Resources and Business Development since April 2009, and he continues to serve in those capacities.



Restructuring Activities

On January 4, 2010, our Board of Directors approved a reduction in force that resulted in the termination of approximately 33% of the Company's workforce, effective January 7, 2010. On February 23, 2010, our Board of Directors approved an additional reduction in force that resulted in the termination of approximately 20% of our workforce, effective March 4, 2010. These reductions in force were related to our ongoing restructuring and cost reduction efforts as the Board of Directors explores a variety of strategic alternatives, including the potential sale of the Company or certain of its assets and/or the acquisition of other entities or businesses.

We incurred charges of \$143,000 in connection with the reductions in force, consisting of one-time employee termination benefits. All amounts were paid as of March 31, 2010.

Results of Operations

The following sets forth a discussion of our financial results for the three and six months ended March 31, 2010 as compared to the three and six months ended March 31, 2009. In evaluating our business, management reviews several key performance indicators including new customer signups, total customers in each line of business, revenues per customer, customer retention rates, etc. However, given the changing nature of our business strategy, the decline in emphasis on our directory services segment and the infancy of our new Direct Sales line of business, we do not believe that presentation of such metrics would reveal any meaningful trends in our operations that are not otherwise apparent from the discussion of our financial results below.

Net Revenues

| | Net Revenues | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|--------------|-----------|----|-----------|----|-------------|---------|---|
| | | 2010 | | 2009 | | Change | Percent | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Three Months Ended March 31, | \$ | 2,165,653 | \$ | 3,548,275 | \$ | (1,382,622) | (39) | % |
| Six Months Ended March 31, | \$ | 4,643,100 | \$ | 8,557,789 | \$ | (3,914,689) | (46) | % |

Net revenues decreased in the second quarter of fiscal 2010 as compared to the second quarter of fiscal 2009 due primarily to a decrease of approximately \$1,682,000 in sales of our directory service products, reflecting the de-emphasis of this business line and the effects of the sale of our URL and a portion of our customer list. However, this decrease was partially offset by an increase in our customer acquisition services of approximately \$300,000 as a result of expanded marketing efforts related to these products and the further development in our business.

Net revenues decreased in the first six months of fiscal 2010 as compared to the first six months of fiscal 2009 for similar reasons, with a decrease of approximately \$4,654,000 in directory service products and an increase of \$739,000 in sales of customer acquisition services.

Cost of Services

| | | Cost of Services | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|----|------------------|----|-----------|----|-------------|---------|--|
| | | 2010 | | 2009 | _ | Change | Percent | |
| Three Months Ended Moreh 21 | ¢ | 1.022.339 | ¢ | 1.466.882 | ¢ | (444,543) | (20)0/ | |
| Three Months Ended March 31, | Ф | 1,022,559 | Ф | 1,400,002 | Ф | (444,545) | (30)% | |
| Six Months Ended March 31, | \$ | 1,851,152 | \$ | 3,067,532 | \$ | (1,216,380) | (40)% | |

Cost of services decreased in the second quarter of fiscal 2010 as compared to the second quarter of fiscal 2009 attributable to a \$917,000 decrease in costs associated with our directory services business, offset by a \$473,000 increase in costs associated with our customer acquisition services. A portion of the change in these costs reflects changes in our revenue mix as a result of our new business strategy. However, during the second quarter of fiscal 2010, we incurred bad debt expense of approximately \$411,000 associated with our direct sales business, reflecting a reconciliation of our customer accounts.

Costs of services decreased in the first six months of fiscal 2010 as compared to the first six months of fiscal 2009 for similar reasons, with a \$1,943,000 decrease in costs related to our directory services offset by increased costs related to our customer acquisition services of approximately \$727,000. Included in the decrease in costs associated with our directory services business is an expense reversal of approximately \$130,000 in the first quarter of fiscal 2010 reflecting revised estimates of bad debt expense based on recent settlement experience.



| | Gross Profit | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|--------------|-----------|----|-----------|----|-------------|---------|
| | | 2010 | | 2009 | _ | Change | Percent |
| | | | | | | | |
| Three Months Ended March 31, | \$ | 1,143,314 | \$ | 2,081,393 | \$ | (938,079) | (45)% |
| Six Months Ended March 31, | \$ | 2,791,948 | \$ | 5,490,257 | \$ | (2,698,309) | (49)% |

Gross profit decreased in the second quarter and first six months of fiscal 2010 as compared to the second quarter and first six months of fiscal 2009 due to a decline in revenues offset by changes in gross margins in our various lines of business. The following table sets forth changes in our gross margin by business segment:

| | Th | ree Months E | Inded | March 31, |
|---|---------------|----------------------------------|-----------|------------------------|
| | | 2010 | | 2009 |
| Direct Sales - | | | | |
| Customer Acquisition Services - | | | | |
| Gross profit | \$ | 160,291 | \$ | 463,779 |
| Gross margin | | 15.1% | | 52.0% |
| Directory services - | | | | |
| Gross profit | \$ | 983,023 | \$ | 1,617,614 |
| Gross margin | | 89.2% | | 60.9% |
| | | | | |
| | <u>1</u> | Six Months E 2010 | Inded | |
| | - - - | Six Months F 2010 | Ended | March 31, 2009 |
| Direct Sales - | <u>-</u> | | Ended | |
| Direct Sales - Customer Acquisition Services - | <u>-</u> | | Ended | |
| | <u>-</u> - | 2010 | | |
| Customer Acquisition Services - | - | 2010 | \$ | 2009 |
| Customer Acquisition Services - Gross profit | - | 2010 6 767,404 | \$ | 2009 754,704 |
| Customer Acquisition Services - Gross profit Gross margin | - | 2010 5 767,404 31.5 | - \$ % | 2009 754,704 |

General and Administrative Expenses

| | General and Administrative Expenses | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------|----|-----------|----|-------------|-------|
| | _ | 2010 2009 | | Change | | Percent | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Three Months Ended March 31, | \$ | 3,138,052 | \$ | 4,054,354 | \$ | (916,302) | (23)% |
| Six Months Ended March 31, | \$ | 7,099,942 | \$ | 8,313,381 | \$ | (1,213,439) | (15)% |

General and administrative expenses decreased in the second quarter of fiscal 2010 as compared to the second quarter of fiscal 2009 primarily due to the following:

• Decreased compensation costs of approximately \$1,193,000 primarily attributable to reductions in our workforce resulting from actions taken in fiscal 2009 including the closure of our Santa Clara office and reductions in the workforce in January 2010 and March 2010, partially offset by a \$70,000 accrual for separation expenses related to changes in management in the first quarter of fiscal 2010 and \$143,000 of termination benefits related to our restructuring activities in the second quarter of fiscal 2010;

• A decrease of approximately \$342,000 of depreciation and amortization expense primarily attributable to the impairment of intangible assets in the second quarter of fiscal 2009;

• Rent and utilities expense decreases of \$121,000; and

- Other miscellaneous expense decreases of \$106,000; partially offset by
- Increased professional fees of approximately \$612,000 related to increased legal expenses incurred in response to certain legal actions brought against us; and
- Increased stock-based compensation of approximately \$234,000, primarily due to a reversal of \$258,000 of stock based compensation during the second quarter of fiscal 2009 reflecting changes in our estimated forfeiture rate associated with restricted stock awards.

General and administrative expenses decreased in the first six months of fiscal 2010 as compared to the first six months of fiscal 2009 for similar reasons, as outlined below:

• Decreased compensation costs of approximately \$1,450,000 primarily attributable to reductions in our workforce resulting from actions taken in fiscal 2009 and fiscal 2010 including the closure of our Santa Clara office and reductions in the workforce in January 2010 and March 2010, partially offset by a \$70,000 accrual for separation expenses related to changes in management in the first quarter of fiscal 2010 and \$143,000 of termination benefits related to our restructuring activities in the second quarter of fiscal 2010;

• A decrease of approximately \$676,000 of depreciation and amortization expense primarily attributable to the impairment of intangible assets in the second quarter of fiscal 2009;

- Rent and utilities expense decreases of \$185,000; and
- Other miscellaneous expense decreases of \$59,000; partially offset by

• Increased professional fees of approximately \$643,000 related to increased legal expenses incurred in response to certain legal actions brought against us;

- Increased stock based compensation of approximately \$214,000, primarily due to a reversal of \$258,000 of stock based compensation during the second quarter of fiscal 2009 reflecting changes in our estimated forfeiture rate associated with restricted stock award; and
- A \$300,000 accrual for a litigation settlement in the first quarter of fiscal 2010 as outlined below.

The following table sets forth our recent operating performance for general and administrative expenses:

| | Q2 2010 | Q1 2010 | Q4 2009 | Q3 2009 | Q2 2009 | Q1 2009 |
|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Compensation for employees, leased | | | | | | |
| employees, officers and directors | \$ 1,352,108 | \$ 2,241,198 | \$ 2,054,709 | \$ 2,392,081 | \$ 2,311,056 | \$ 2,508,836 |
| Professional fees | 1,023,582 | 488,993 | 336,273 | 421,700 | 411,564 | 455,832 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 218,200 | 225,653 | 211,336 | 186,077 | 560,383 | 559,289 |
| Other general and administrative costs | 544,162 | 1,006,046 | 451,300 | 813,124 | 771,352 | 735,070 |

Included in other general and administrative expenses for the first quarter of fiscal 2010 was an accrual of \$300,000 related to a legal settlement with On-Call Superior Management ("OSM") and SMeVentures, Inc. ("SMe"). See Part II, Item 1 (Legal Proceedings) in this report for further information.

Impairment of Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

| | Impairment of Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|--|--|------|-----------|---------|-------------|-----|
| | 2010 2009 | | | Change | Percent | | |
| Three Months Ended March 31, | \$ | | - \$ | 7.866.110 | \$ | (7,866,110) | n/a |
| Six Months Ended March 31, | \$ | | - \$ | 7,866,110 | | (7,866,110) | n/a |

As described previously, we incurred an impairment charge in the second quarter of fiscal 2009 to write-down goodwill and other intangible assets. No such charges were incurred in first six months of fiscal 2010.

Sales and Marketing Expenses

| | Sales and Marketing Expenses | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|---------|----|---------|---------|--------------------------|---------|--|
| | 2010 | | | 2009 | | Change | Percent | |
| Three Months Ended March 31, | ¢ | 90.054 | ¢ | 713.326 | ¢ | (623,272) | (87)% | |
| Six Months Ended March 31, | \$ | 261,111 | \$ | | ф \$ | (023,272) (2,024,274) | (87)% | |

Sales and marketing expenses decreased in the second quarter of fiscal 2010 as compared to the second quarter of fiscal 2009 primarily due to the following:

- \$287,000 of decreased telemarketing and other customer acquisition costs as we have been transitioning away from marketing activities geared toward our directory services business;
- \$145,000 of reduced customer acquisition costs associated with fulfillment contracts that have been terminated or reduced in scope; and
- \$191,000 of other miscellaneous cost decreases.

Sales and marketing expenses decreased in the first six months of fiscal 2010 as compared to the first six months of fiscal 2009 primarily due to the following:

- \$787,000 of decreased telemarketing and other customer acquisition costs;
- \$983,000 of reduced customer acquisition costs associated with fulfillment contracts that have been terminated or reduced in scope; and
- \$254,000 of other miscellaneous cost decreases.

Operating Loss

| | | Operatin | ng Loss | |
|------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------|---------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | Change | Percent |
| Three Months Ended March 31, | \$ (2,084,792) | \$ (10,552,397) | \$ 8,467,605 | (80)% |
| Six Months Ended March 31, | \$ (4,569,105) | \$ (12,974,619) | \$ 8,405,514 | (65)% |

The decrease in operating loss for the second quarter and first six months of 2010 as compared to the second quarter and first six months of 2009 is primarily due to the impairment charges that occurred in the second quarter of fiscal 2009 and decreased operating expenses in fiscal 2010, partially offset by the decrease in gross profit in fiscal 2010, each of which is described above.

Total Other Income (Expense)

| | Total Other Income (Expense) | | | | | |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|-----------|----|-------------|---------|
| | | 2010 | 2009 | | Change | Percent |
| Three Months Ended March 31, | \$ | (19,085) \$ | 3,464,379 | \$ | (3,483,464) | (101)% |
| Six Months Ended March 31, | \$ | 37,825 \$ | 7,283,917 | \$ | (7,246,092) | (99)% |

During the first quarter of fiscal 2010, we recognized \$50,000 of income related to the adjustment of certain accruals associated with the sale of a portion of our customer list that occurred in the previous year.

During the second quarter of fiscal 2009, we entered into an agreement to sell a portion of our customer list associated with our directory services business, resulting in a gain of \$2,815,952. We also amended another directory services contract in consideration of accelerated payments on our outstanding accounts receivables and some anticipated future billings which resulted in an increase in other income of \$642,268 for the three and six months ended March 31, 2009, respectively.

During the first quarter of fiscal 2009, we entered into an agreement to sell our Internet domain name "<u>www.yp.com</u>" to YellowPages.com for a cash payment of \$3,850,000. We had net gain from the sale of that asset of \$3,805,778, which is reflected in other income.

The remaining activity in fiscal 2010 and fiscal 2009 consisted primarily of interest income on cash balances and short-term investments.

Income Tax Provision (Benefit)

| | Income Tax Provision (Benefit) | | | | | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|-----------|----|-------------|---------|
| | | 2010 | 2009 | _ | Change | Percent |
| | | | | | | |
| Three Months Ended March 31, | \$ | (330,357) \$ | 6,790,410 | \$ | (7,120,767) | (105)% |
| Six Months Ended March 31, | \$ | (231,026) \$ | 7,243,287 | \$ | (7,474,313) | (103)% |

In the second quarter of fiscal 2009, the Company established a valuation allowance against all deferred tax assets given the uncertainty with respect to future operations and we continue to maintain a full valuation allowance against such assets. The income tax provision during the second quarter of fiscal 2010 and first six months of fiscal 2010 reflects a true up to our income tax receivable based on information received during the finalization of our 2009 tax returns. The income tax provision in the second quarter of fiscal 2009 and first six months of fiscal 2009 reflects the tax impacts of changes in our pre-tax income, coupled with the establishment of a valuation allowance in the second quarter of fiscal 2009, which increased our income tax provision by \$9,392,488. While we are optimistic about our plans for our new business strategy, we determined that such a valuation allowance was necessary given the current and expected near term losses and the uncertainty with respect to our ability to generate sufficient profits from our new business model. Therefore, we established a valuation allowance for all deferred tax assets in excess of those expected to be realizable through the application of operating loss carrybacks.

Income (Loss) from Discontinued Operations

| | Income (Loss) from Discontinued Operations | | | | | | ations |
|------------------------------|--|-------|----|-------------|----|-----------|---------|
| | | 2010 | | 2009 | | Change | Percent |
| Three Months Ended March 31, | \$ | - | \$ | (5,205,187) | \$ | 5,205,187 | (100)% |
| Six Months Ended March 31, | \$ | 1,081 | \$ | (5,262,265) | \$ | 5,263,346 | (100)% |

During the second quarter of fiscal 2009, we discontinued our classifieds business, as described above. All prior periods have been restated to reflect the classifieds operating results, net of tax, as discontinued operations. The decrease in loss in the first six months of fiscal 2010 as compared to the first six months of fiscal 2009 reflects the wind down of this line of business and the effects of the impairment charges (and related tax effects) which were incurred during fiscal 2009.

| | | Net I | JOSS | |
|------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------|---------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | Change | Percent |
| | | | | |
| Three Months Ended March 31, | \$ (1,773,520) | \$ (19,083,615) | \$ 17,310,095 | (91)% |
| Six Months Ended March 31, | \$ (4,299,173) | \$ (18,196,254) | \$ 13,897,081 | (76)% |

Changes in net income (loss) are primarily attributable to changes in operating income, other income (expense), income tax expense and discontinued operations, each of which is described above.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Net cash used in operating activities was approximately \$1,492,000 for the first six months of fiscal 2010 as compared to approximately \$897,000 for the first six months of fiscal 2009. While our net loss decreased by \$13,897,000 in the first six months of fiscal 2010 as compared to the first six months of fiscal 2009, the net loss for the prior period included a non cash impairment charge of \$16,111,495 offset by gains on the sale of our domain name and customer list of \$6,622,000, which are not included as part of operating cash flows. Other factors contributing to the change in operating cash flows include a decrease in noncash expenses of \$4,460,000 (including depreciation and amortization, stock-based compensation, deferred income taxes , provisions for uncollectible accounts and other non-cash gains and losses).

With respect to our Direct Sales Services, we generally receive upfront payments averaging approximately one-sixth of the gross contract amount. Subsequent payments are received on an installment basis after the application of the initial payment amounts and are billed ratably over the remaining life of the contract. Most customers purchasing these services elect to use their credit cards to make payments, and therefore our collections are usually made within a few days of the installment due date.

Our most significant cash outflows include payments for marketing expenses and general operating expenses. General operating cash outflows consist of payroll costs, income taxes, and general and administrative expenses that typically occur within close proximity of expense recognition.

Net cash used for investing activities totaled approximately \$525,000 for the first six months of fiscal 2010 consisting of \$325,000 for equipment and software development costs and \$200,000 to purchase a certificate of deposit. Net cash provided by investing activities was \$6,871,000 for the first six months of fiscal 2009 which was attributable to the sale of our Internet domain name <u>www.yp.com</u>, the sale of a portion of our customer list related to our directory services business, and an amendment to an existing directory services contract which provided aggregate cash inflows of \$7,275,000, partially offset by purchases of equipment and software development costs of \$404,000.

Net cash used for financing activities was approximately \$84,000 during the first six months of fiscal 2010 compared to approximately \$523,000 during the first six months of fiscal 2009, primarily attributable to a reduction in the amount of treasury stock repurchases due to a suspension of the program. The timing of stock repurchases is influenced by market forces and our cash needs and requirements.

We had working capital of \$5,725,000 as of March 31, 2010 compared to \$9,251,000 as of September 30, 2009 with current assets decreasing by \$3,448,000 and current liabilities increasing by \$79,000 from September 30, 2009 to March 31, 2010. Declines in working capital are primarily attributable to our operating net loss.

The following table summarizes our contractual obligations at March 31, 2010 and the effect such obligations are expected to have on our future liquidity and cash flows:

| | _ | Pa | ayn | nents Due b | oy I | Fiscal Year | ſ | | | |
|----------------------------------|--------------|---------------|-----|-------------|------|-------------|----|--------|---------|------------|
| | Total | 2010 | | 2011 | | 2012 | | 2013 | 2014 | Thereafter |
| Operating lease commitments | \$ 1,098,774 | \$ 273,848 | \$ | 430,875 | \$ | 315,331 | \$ | 78,720 | \$ - | \$ - |
| Capital lease commitments | 133,631 | 32,071 | | 64,143 | | 37,417 | | - | - | - |
| Noncanceleable service contracts | 1,482,820 | 620,709 | | 721,111 | | 141,000 | | - | _ | _ |
| | \$ 2,715,225 | \$ 926,628 | \$ | 1,216,129 | \$ | 493,748 | \$ | 78,720 | \$ - | \$ _ |

This table includes the service contract associated with the litigation settlement entered into on February 3, 2010 as previously described. While we believe that our existing cash on hand and additional cash generated from operations will provide us with sufficient liquidity to meet our operating needs for the next 12 months, we will not be able to stay in business in the future without improvements in our profitability, additional financing or a fundamental change in our business.

At March 31, 2010, we had no other off-balance sheet arrangements, commitments or guarantees that require additional disclosure or measurement.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure controls and procedures are designed with an objective of ensuring that information required to be disclosed in our periodic reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, such as this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified by the Securities and Exchange Commission. Disclosure controls are also designed with an objective of ensuring that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, in order to allow timely consideration regarding required disclosures.

The evaluation of our disclosure controls by our principal executive officer and principal financial officer included a review of the controls' objectives and design, the operation of the controls, and the effect of the controls on the information presented in this Quarterly Report. Our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, does not expect that disclosure controls can or will prevent or detect all errors and all fraud, if any. A control system, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Also, projections of any evaluation of the disclosure controls and procedures to future periods are subject to the risk that the disclosure controls and procedures may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Based on their review and evaluation as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) are effective as of the end of the period covered by this report. During the period covered by this Quarterly Report, there have not been any changes in our internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected, or that are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Except as described below, as of March 31, 2010, we were not a party to any pending material legal proceedings other than claims that arise in the normal conduct of our business. While we currently believe that the ultimate outcome of these proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition or results of operations, litigation is subject to inherent uncertainties. If an unfavorable ruling were to occur, there exists the possibility of a material adverse impact on our net income in the period in which a ruling occurs. Our estimate of the potential impact of the following legal proceedings on our financial position and our results of operation could change in the future.

With the exception of LiveDeal, Inc. v. On-Call Superior Management ("OSM") and SMeVentures, Inc. ("SMe") described below, the Company has not recorded any accruals pertaining to its legal proceedings as they do not meet the criteria for accrual under FASB ASC 450.

Joe Cunningham v. LiveDeal, Inc. et al.

On July 16, 2008, Joseph Cunningham, who was at the time a member of LiveDeal's Board of Directors, filed a complaint with the U.S. Department of Labor's Occupational Safety and Health Administration ("OSHA") alleging that the Company and certain members of its Board of Directors had engaged in discriminatory employment practices in violation of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002's statutory protections for corporate whistleblowers when the Board of Directors removed him as Chairman on May 22, 2008. In his complaint, Mr. Cunningham asked OSHA to order his appointment as Chief Executive Officer of the Company or, in the alternative, to order his reinstatement as Chairman of the Board. Mr. Cunningham also sought back pay, special damages and litigation costs. The Company has not received any correspondence from OSHA, and there have been no other developments in the matter, since December 2008.

Global Education Services, Inc. v. LiveDeal, Inc.

On June 6, 2008, Global Education Services, Inc. ("GES") filed a consumer fraud class action lawsuit against the Company in King County (Washington) Superior Court. GES has alleged in its complaint that the Company's use of activator checks violated the Washington Consumer Protection Act. GES is seeking injunctive relief against our use of the checks, as well as a judgment in an amount equal to three times the alleged damages sustained by GES and the members of the class. LiveDeal has denied the allegations. The court denied both parties' dispositive motions. Litigation is ongoing.

Complaint filed by Illinois Attorney General against LiveDeal, Inc.

On November 12, 2008, the Illinois Attorney General filed a complaint against us requesting money damages and injunctive relief for claims that we employed deceptive and unfair acts and practices in violation of the Illinois Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Business Act in a telemarketing campaign that in part promoted premium Internet Yellow Page listings to Illinois consumers. LiveDeal has denied the allegations and is vigorously defending the claim. Legal proceedings in the manner are ongoing.

LiveDeal, Inc. v. OnCall Superior Management ("OSM") and SMeVentures, Inc. ("SME")

On April 6, 2009, LiveDeal sought a declaratory judgment with respect to the termination of certain contracts that it entered into with OSM and SME on November 1, 2007 and November 13, 2006, respectively. Pursuant to the contracts, OSM and SME (both of which are call center managers based in the Philippines) were to provide certain telemarketing and other customer services to LiveDeal. LiveDeal subsequently filed a complaint in the United States District Court for the District of Arizona (CV 09-976-PHX-DGC) alleging breach of contract on May 29, 2009, and OSM and SME counterclaimed, also alleging breach of contract.

On February 3, 2010, the parties executed a Settlement Agreement and Mutual Release pursuant to which LiveDeal agreed to pay OSM and SME a total of \$300,000 in cash in exchange for their agreement to terminate all litigation with respect to the 2006 and 2007 contracts. The parties also entered into a new Services Agreement pursuant to which OSM agreed to provide certain services to LiveDeal until July 1, 2010 in exchange for cash payments totaling \$125,000.



ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

The following represent material changes to the factors disclosed in Item 1A "Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2009.

Our recent restructuring efforts may cause adverse business consequences.

On January 4, 2010, our Board of Directors approved a reduction in force that resulted in the termination of approximately 33% of our workforce, effective January 7, 2010. On February 23, 2010, the Board of Directors of the Company approved an additional reduction in force that resulted in the termination of approximately 20% of the Company's workforce, effective March 4, 2010. These reductions in force could negatively impact both our ability to develop our existing business lines and our ability to service existing customers. If so, we could experience adverse impacts on our financial condition and results of operations.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

| | (a) Total Number of Shares (or | (b) . | | | (d) Maximum Number (or Approximate Dollar Value) of Shares (or Units) that May Yet Be Purchased |
|---------------------|--------------------------------|-------|-----------------|----------|---|
| d | Units) Purchased | | Share (or Unit) | Programs | Under the Plans or Programs |
| January 1-31, 2010 | 1,000 | \$ | 1.30 | 1,000 | |
| February 1-28, 2010 | - | | | - | |
| March 1-31, 2010 | | | | | |
| Total | 1,000 | | | 1,000 | \$ 429,427 |

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

The following exhibits are either attached hereto or incorporated herein by reference as indicated:

| Exhibit Number | Description |
|-------------------|--|
| <u>10.1</u> | Settlement Agreement and Mutual Release dated February 3, 2010 |
| 31 | Certifications pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 32 | Section 1350 Certifications |
| | |



SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Dated: May 14, 2010

LiveDeal, Inc.

/s/ Lawrence W. Tomsic Lawrence W. Tomsic Chief Financial Officer

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND MUTUAL RELEASE

THIS SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND MUTUAL RELEASE (this "<u>Agreement</u>") is made and entered into as of February 3, 2010 (the "<u>Effective Date</u>") by and between ONCALL SUPERIOR MANAGEMENT, a Philippines Corporation ("<u>OSM</u>") and SM eVENTURES, a Philippines Corporation ("<u>SME</u>" and together with OSM, "<u>OSM/SME</u>" or "<u>Defendants</u>"), and LIVEDEAL, INC., a Nevada corporation, f/k/a YP Corp. ("<u>LiveDeal</u>") and TELCO BILLING, INC., a Nevada corporation ("<u>Telco</u>" and together with LiveDeal, "<u>Plaintiffs</u>"). For purposes of this Agreement, Plaintiffs and Defendants are sometimes individually referred to as a "<u>Party</u>" and sometimes collectively referred to as the "<u>Parties</u>."

Background

A. Telco and OSM previously entered into that certain Memorandum of Agreement dated as of November 1, 2007 (the "<u>OSM</u> <u>Contract</u>"), pursuant to which OSM agreed to provide inbound telemarketing services, including customer service and quality assurance and/or control services, through its telephone representatives, to Plaintiffs' customers residing in the United States.

B. Telco and SME previously entered into that certain Marketing Agreement dated as of November 13, 2006 (the "<u>SME</u> <u>Contract</u>" and together with the OSM Contract, the "<u>Contracts</u>"), pursuant to which SME agreed that its sales representatives would make outbound telemarketing sales to business consumers of Plaintiffs residing in the United States.

C. Plaintiffs and Defendants are currently parties to a civil action pending in the Federal Court, District of Arizona, entitled *LiveDeal et. al. v. Oncall Superior Management, et.al*, United States District Court Case No. CV 09-976-PHX-DGC concerning whether Plaintiffs or Defendants breached the Contracts and what damages were incurred (the "Litigation").

D. In order to avoid the uncertainties, inconvenience, and expense of further litigation, the Parties now desire to compromise and settle all claims, causes of action, and issues in dispute between them from the beginning of time through and including the date hereof all on the terms set forth herein.

E. Each of the Parties hereto declares that the terms of this Agreement have been read and understood and that this Agreement is entered into voluntarily, freely, and without coercion of any sort, and is accepted for the purpose of making a complete, final, and binding settlement of any and all claims described herein as well as those omitted through oversight or error, with the exception of only those claims specifically enumerated and excluded herein.

In consideration of the acts, payments, covenants and mutual agreements herein described and agreed to be performed the Parties agree as follows:

Agreement

1. <u>Incorporation of Background</u>. The statements set forth in paragraphs A through E above are acknowledged by the Parties to be true and correct and are hereby incorporated into and are deemed a part of this Agreement.

2. <u>Settlement Amount</u>. Notwithstanding the terms of any other agreement between the Parties, LiveDeal shall pay Defendants US\$300,000 ("Settlement Amount") in immediately available funds by wire transfer per the instructions contained in <u>Exhibit A</u> according to the following schedule:

- (a) US \$250,000 upon the execution of this Agreement and the New Services Agreement; and
- (b) US\$50,000 on February 15, 2010.

3. <u>New Services Agreement</u>. As a condition to the execution of this Agreement and the payment of the Settlement Amount, LiveDeal and OSM shall have entered into a Services Agreement in the form attached as <u>Exhibit B</u> hereto, pursuant to which OSM agrees to provide inbound and outbound telesales support for LiveDeal's "Legacy" business and assist with LiveDeal's direct sales efforts in exchange for the payments set forth therein ("<u>New Services Agreement</u>").

4. <u>Termination of Litigation</u>. Within five days from the date of execution of this Agreement and the New Services Agreement, the Parties will file with the District of Arizona, before Judge Campbell, a Stipulation for Dismissal with Prejudice terminating the Litigation. Each party to the litigation will bear their own attorneys' fees and costs incurred in the Litigation. The Parties agree to execute such other documents and perform such other acts as may be necessary to cause the entire Action to be dismissed with Prejudice.

5. <u>Termination of Prior Contracts</u>. The Contracts previously entered into among the Parties are hereby terminated or are acknowledged by the Parties to have been previously terminated and all of the obligations arising therefrom between the Plaintiffs and the Defendants shall be deemed terminated by mutual agreement, including, without limitation, any and all payments for services or interest payments that LiveDeal might have otherwise owed; provided that the obligations of the Parties set forth in this Agreement and the New Services Agreement shall survive.

6. <u>Mutual Release and Covenant Not to Sue</u>.

(a) Defendants, on behalf of themselves and their officers, directors, agents, shareholders, partners, affiliates and successors and assigns (collectively, the "<u>OSM/SME Releasor Parties</u>"), hereby forever release, discharge, cancel, waive, and acquit Plaintiffs and Plaintiffs' subsidiaries, affiliates, agents, officers, managers, owners, directors, employees, insurers, successors and assigns (collectively, the "<u>LiveDeal Exculpated Parties</u>"), of and from any and all rights, claims, demands, causes of action, obligations, damages, penalties, fees, costs, expenses, and liabilities of any nature whatsoever, whether in law or equity (collectively, "<u>Claims</u>"), which the OSM/SME Releasor Parties have, had or may hereafter have against any LiveDeal Exculpated Parties arising out of, by reason of, or related to the Contracts or the Parties' prior relationships and transactions, existing as of the date of execution of this Agreement, WHETHER KNOWN TO THE OSM/SME RELEASOR PARTIES AT THE TIME OF EXECUTION OF THIS AGREEMENT OR NOT, other than any Claims arising out of, or by reason of any breaches by the LiveDeal Exculpated Parties of their obligations under this Agreement or the New Services Agreement, this Agreement intending to be a full and final settlement between the Parties. The foregoing release may be used to completely bar any action or suit before any court, arbitral, or administrative body with respect to any claim under federal, state, local, or other law relating to any of the Claims released herein.

(b) Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and their respective subsidiaries, officers, directors, agents, shareholders, partners, affiliates and successors and assigns (collectively, the "LiveDeal Releasor Parties"), hereby forever release, discharge, cancel, waive, and acquit Defendants, and Defendants' subsidiaries, affiliates, agents, officers, owners, directors, employees, insurers, successors and assigns (collectively, the "<u>OSM/SME Exculpated Parties</u>"), of and from any and all Claims, which the LiveDeal Releasor Parties have, had or may hereafter have against them arising out of, by reason of, or relating to the Contracts or the Parties' prior relationships or transactions, existing as of the date of execution of this Agreement, WHETHER KNOWN TO THE LIVEDEAL RELEASOR PARTIES AT THE TIME OF EXECUTION OF THIS AGREEMENT OR NOT, other than any Claims arising out of, or by reason of any breaches by the OSM/SME Exculpated Parties of their obligations under this Agreement or the New Services Agreement, this Agreement intending to be a full and final settlement between the Parties. The foregoing release may be used to completely bar any action or suit before any court, arbitral, or administrative body with respect to any claim under federal, state, local, or other law relating to any of the Claims released herein.

(c) For purposes of the remainder of this Agreement the term "Releasor <u>Parties</u>" shall refer collectively to the OSM/SME Releasor Parties and the LiveDeal Releasor Parties and the term "<u>Exculpated Parties</u>" shall refer collectively to the OSM/SME Exculpated Parties and the LiveDeal Exculpated Parties.

(d) Each Party, on behalf of itself and its respective Releasor Parties, further covenants and agrees not to institute, nor cause to be instituted, any legal proceeding of any nature whatsoever, either on its own behalf or in any representative capacity, for any claim released hereunder premised upon any legal theory or claim whatsoever, including without limitation, contract, tort, interference with contract, breach of contract, defamation, negligence, infliction of emotional distress, fraud, or deceit, except that a Releasor Party hereto may file a legal proceeding against an Exculpated Party to enforce the terms of this Agreement or any agreement contemplated hereunder.

(e) Each of the Parties acknowledges that the considerations afforded such Party under this Agreement are in full and complete satisfaction of any Claims such Party or the Releasor Parties may have or may have had prior to the date hereof, and provide good and sufficient consideration for every promise, duty, release, obligation, agreement and right contained in this Agreement.

(f) EACH OF THE PARTIES ACKNOWLEDGES AND AGREES THAT THE RELEASE AND DISCHARGE SET FORTH IN THIS AGREEMENT IS A GENERAL RELEASE AND DISCHARGE AS TO IT AND ALL OTHER RELEASED PARTIES. EACH OF THE PARTIES FURTHER EXPRESSLY WAIVES AND ASSUMES THE RISK THAT ANY AND ALL CLAIMS FOR DAMAGES WHICH EXIST AS OF THE DATE OF THIS AGREEMENT BUT OF WHICH IT DOES NOT KNOW OR WHICH IT DOES NOT SUSPECT EXIST, WHETHER THROUGH IGNORANCE, OVERSIGHT, ERROR, NEGLIGENCE, OR OTHERWISE, AND WHICH IF KNOWN, WOULD MATERIALLY AFFECT ITS DECISION TO ENTER INTO THIS AGREEMENT, ARE BEING RELEASED AND WAIVED BY THIS AGREEMENT. (g) Nothing in this Agreement will prevent the Parties from asserting any defense to any claim by any third party.

7. <u>Assistance with Illinois Dispute</u>. OSM/SME agrees to cooperate and provide assistance to LiveDeal as reasonably requested in connection with the existing complaint filed by the Attorney General of Illinois against LiveDeal.

8. <u>No Disparagement</u>. Each of the Parties agrees that as part of the consideration for this Agreement, each will not make nor permit its affiliates to make disparaging or derogatory remarks, whether oral or written, about the other Party or its business, products, prospects, subsidiaries, affiliates, directors, officers or agents. Nothing in this Agreement shall prevent any of the Parties from giving truthful testimony or providing any information requested by a governmental authority or by court order.

9. <u>No Admission of Liability</u>. Nothing contained in this Agreement shall be construed in any manner as an admission by any Party that it has or may have violated any statute, law or regulation, or breached any contract or agreement.

10. <u>No Third Party Beneficiaries; Exception</u>. Nothing in this Agreement, whether expressed or implied, is intended to confer any rights or remedies under, or by reason of, this Agreement on any persons other than the Parties to it and their respective successors and permitted assigns, nor is anything in this Agreement intended to relieve or discharge the obligation or liability of any third person to any Party to this Agreement, nor shall any provision give any third persons any right of subrogation or action over or against any Party to this Agreement; provided, however, that any Exculpated Party that is not a Party to this Agreement shall be deemed a third-party beneficiary under Section 6 of this Agreement.

11. <u>Confidentiality</u>. The Parties agree that they will keep the terms, conditions, and amount of this Agreement and the New Services Agreement confidential and that they will not hereafter disclose any information concerning this Agreement to anyone to whom disclosure is not required by law or a court order, provided that the foregoing excludes the Parties' attorneys, accountants, representatives, employees and other business advisors. The Parties also agree that neither this Agreement nor evidence of this Agreement shall be used or offered as evidence in any proceeding for any purpose whatsoever, except for the purposes of enforcement and compliance as provided above. In the event one of the Parties is requested by subpoena, deposition notice, court order or otherwise to disclose the terms of this Agreement, notice shall be given to the other Party's legal counsel within five business days of receipt of the request for disclosure.

12. <u>Acknowledgements</u>. Each of the Parties acknowledges, represents, warrants and confirms the following:

(a) the Party has relied on its own judgment regarding the consideration for and language of this Agreement;

(b) the Party has been given a reasonable period of time to consider this Agreement, has been advised to consult with independent counsel of his own choosing before signing this Agreement, and has consulted with independent counsel or voluntarily elected not to consult with independent counsel with respect hereto;

(c) this Agreement is written in a manner that is understandable to it and it has read and understood all provisions of this Agreement;

(d) the Party signs this Agreement as its free and voluntary act, without any duress, coercion or undue influence exerted by or on behalf of the other Party or any other third-party;

(e) signing this Agreement is not an admission of fault or liability;

(f) the Party is the sole owner of the claims or causes of action being released herein and such Party has not conveyed or assigned any interest in any such claims or causes of action to any person or entity not a party hereto;

(g) the Party has full and complete authorization and power to sign this Agreement in the capacity herein stated;

(h) this Agreement is a valid, binding and enforceable obligation of the Party and does not violate any law, rule, regulation, contract or agreement otherwise enforceable by or against the Party; and

(i) the Party agrees to be responsible for its own attorney's fees and costs in connection with the negotiation, preparation and effectuation of this Agreement.

13. <u>Nature of the Agreement</u>. This Agreement and all provisions thereof, including all representations and promises contained herein, are contractual and not a mere recital and shall continue in permanent force and effect. This Agreement constitutes the sole and entire agreement of the Parties with respect to the subject matter hereof, and there are no agreements of any nature whatsoever between any of the Parties hereto with respect to the subject matter hereof, except as expressly stated herein. This Agreement may not be modified or changed unless done so in writing, signed by each of the Parties. In the event that any portion of this Agreement is found to be unenforceable for any reason whatsoever, the unenforceable provision shall be considered to be severable, and the remainder of the Agreement shall continue to be in full force and effect.

14. <u>Notices</u>. All notices, requests and other communications hereunder must be in writing and will be deemed to have been duly given only by facsimile transmission or by next day delivery by a nationally recognized courier service to the Parties at the following addresses or facsimile numbers:

| If to OSM/SME: | OnCall Superior Management / SM eVentures Prestige Tower, Suite 2403 Ortigas Center, Pasig, Philippines Attention: George Y ang Facsimile: 949-878-3684 |
|---|---|
| with a copy to (which shall not constitute notice | Victoria L. Orze HINSHAW & CULBERTSON LLP 3200 North Central Ave., Suite 800 Phoenix, Arizona 85012 vorze@hinshawlaw.com |
| If to LiveDeal or Telco: | LiveDeal, Inc. 2490 E. Sunset Road, Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89120 Attention: General Counsel Facsimile: 702-939-0245 |
| with a copy to (which shall not constitute notice): | Snell & Wilmer L.L.P. One Arizona Center Phoenix, Arizona 85004-2202 Attention: Dan Mahoney Facsimile: 602-382-6070 |

15. <u>Waiver</u>. The waiver by either Party of any term, condition or provision of this Agreement shall not be construed as a waiver of any other or subsequent term, condition or provision.

16. <u>Governing Law</u>. This Agreement shall be construed in accordance with and governed by the internal laws (without reference to choice or conflict of laws) of the State of Nevada.

17. <u>Attorney's Fees</u>. In the event any Party to this Agreement brings any action to enforce any provision hereof or to collect damages of any kind for any breach of this Agreement, the prevailing party shall be entitled to all court costs, all expenses arising out of or incurred by reason of the litigation, and any reasonable attorney's fees expended or incurred in any such proceedings, and all such costs and expenses shall be included in the judgment.

18. <u>Binding Effect</u>. This Agreement shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the Parties and each of their respective heirs, successors, assigns and related entities.

19. <u>No Assignment of Claims</u>. Each Party hereby represents and warrants that such Party has not assigned or otherwise transferred or subrogated, or purported to assign, transfer or subrogate, to any person or entity, any Claim, or any portion thereof, or interest therein such Party may have against the other and such Party agrees to indemnify, defend and hold the other harmless from and against any and all liabilities, losses, demands, claims, damages, costs, expenses or attorneys' fees incurred by such other Party as the result of any person or entity asserting any such right, assignment, transfer or subrogation.

20. <u>Further Act</u>. The Parties, without further consideration, shall execute and deliver such other documents and take such other action as may be necessary to achieve the objectives of this Agreement.

21. <u>No Release of Obligations.</u> Nothing contained in this Agreement shall operate to release or discharge any of the Parties hereto from any claims, rights or causes of action arising out of, relating to or connected with the breach, violation, or untruth of any representation or warranty of such Party set forth herein.

22. <u>Counterparts</u>. This Agreement may be executed in two or more counterparts, and by facsimile, each of which shall be deemed an original but all of which taken together shall constitute but one and the same Agreement.

[Signatures on Following Page]

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties hereto have duly executed this Agreement effective as of the date first written above.

ONCALL SUPERIOR MANAGEMENT

| /s/ George Yang |
|-----------------|
| By: George Yang |
| Its: |
| |
| SM eVENTURES |
| |
| /s/ George Yang |
| By: George Yang |
| Its: |
| |

LIVEDEAL, INC.

<u>/s/ Kevin Hall</u> By: Kevin Hall Its: Chief Operating Officer

TELCO BILLING, INC.

/s/ Kevin Hall By: Kevin Hall Its: President

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF SARBANES-OXLEY

I, Kevin A. Hall, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of LiveDeal, Inc.;

2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;

3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;

4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:

a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;

b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;

c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and

d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and

5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):

a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: May 14, 2010

Kevin A. Hall Chief Operating Officer (Principal Executive Officer)

/s/ Kevin A. Hall

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF SARBANES-OXLEY

I, Lawrence W. Tomsic, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of LiveDeal, Inc.;

2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;

3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;

4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:

a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;

b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;

c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and

d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and

5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):

a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: May 14, 2010

<u>/s/ Lawrence W. Tomsic</u> Lawrence W. Tomsic Chief Financial Officer

CERTIFICATION OF THE PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICER AND PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL OFFICER PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

I, Kevin A. Hall, the Chief Operating Officer of LiveDeal, Inc., certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that the Quarterly Report of LiveDeal, Inc. on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and that information contained in such Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of LiveDeal, Inc.

Date: May 14, 2010

/s/ Kevin A. Hall

Kevin A. Hall Chief Operating Officer (Principal Executive Officer)

I, Lawrence W. Tomsic, the Chief Financial Officer of LiveDeal, Inc., certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that the Quarterly Report of LiveDeal, Inc. on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and that information contained in such Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of LiveDeal, Inc.

Date: May 14, 2010

/s/ Lawrence W. Tomsic

Lawrence W. Tomsic Chief Financial Officer